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The purpose of this study was to examine whether appraisals of desirable and undesirable effects of military service mediated the effect of combat stress on posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in later life in 1,287 male veterans, aged 44–91 years ($M = 63.56, SD = 7.46$), 40% of whom had been in combat. The men reported more desirable effects of military service (e.g., mastery, self-esteem, and coping skills) than undesirable ones; both increased linearly with combat exposure ($r = .17$ and $r = .33$, $p < .001$, respectively). Path analysis revealed that the appraisals were independent and opposite mediators, with undesirable effects increasing and desirable effects decreasing the relationship between combat exposure and PTSD, even controlling for depression and response style. Although lifelong negative consequences of combat exposure were observed, perceiving positive benefits from this stressful experience mitigated the effect.

Stress is ubiquitous. It is unavoidable, occurs in myriad contexts, and can have deleterious effects, both psychological and physical. However, it is clear that some individuals are more resilient to stress than others (Garmezy, 1983; Rutter, 1981; Werner & Smith, 1982). Furthermore, some individuals report positive aspects of having endured even extremely adverse circumstances (Moos & Schaefer, 1986; Weisman, 1979). Elder (1974) suggested that economic stress during the Great Depression may have had some positive long-term effects on middle-class children, who became more educated and had more successful careers and marriages than their less stressed peers—a pattern also found among Holocaust survivors (Kahana, 1992). Others have reported value changes associated with such major stressors as near-death experiences, turning (at least temporarily) toward family and religion, and being less distressed by everyday hassles (Ring, 1980). Clinicians who deal with traumatic stress have reported that some clients eventually have positive feelings of mastery, self-esteem, and independence from undergoing such trauma as sudden divorce (Sprenkle & Cyrus, 1983) and natural disasters (Smith, 1983). Some people seek out strenuous and even life-threatening circumstances, such as rock climbing, marathons, bicycle racing, or testing experimental aircraft for the military (Levenson, 1990). Such desirable or positive aspects of stress are not explained very well by current theories of stress and coping.

On the face of it, there appears to be relatively little evidence to support such a hypothesis. Over 10,000 studies of stress have been conducted in the past decade, in both laboratory and field conditions, most of which have demonstrated negative sequelae of stressors (Vingerhoets & Marcelissen, 1988). Furthermore, studies have shown that the effects of stressful life events are generally modest and disappear after 6 months to 1 year (Depue & Monroe, 1986). The effects of hassles are even shorter lived and abate within 1 or 2 days (DeLongis, Folkman, & Lazarus, 1988).

However, there are several shortcomings to this line of research. First, most of it uses outcome measures such as self-reported affect (usually negative) and physical symptoms, which generally decrease within a relatively short period after coping with stress. However, these outcomes are almost by definition short-term effects. Second, it is generally accepted that major trauma, such as severe child abuse, rape, or combat exposure, may have long-term negative effects (Silver, Boon, & Stones, 1983). Long-term studies of prisoners of war (POWs), for example, reveal major health problems and depression rates of up to 50%, even 50 years after the trauma (Page, 1988). Similarly, Wilson, Harel, and Kahana (1989) found a modest correlation between combat exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in later life among Pearl Harbor survivors.

Third, even with major trauma, negative affect and symptoms do not completely describe the universe of possible stress effects. Other consequences, such as the development of a sense of mastery (Pearlin, Lieberman, Menaghan, & Mullan, 1981)
or other coping resources (Aldwin, 1992), are entirely possible and, as these examples demonstrate, might actually be positive. Some have even reported a relationship between stress and positive affect (DeLongis et al., 1988; Zautra, Reich, & Guarnaccio, 1990). However, with some notable exceptions (Beardlee, 1989; Garmezy, 1983; Menninger, 1963; Werner & Smith, 1982), the long-term developmental aspects of stress have largely been ignored.

However, a few theorists have begun to develop models that can incorporate the positive aspects of stress. Dienstbier (1989), for example, has synthesized the physiological literature in a very elegant model that suggests ways in which stress can “toughen” neuroendocrine responses to future stressors. From a psychosocial perspective, Zautra and Sandler (1983) have posited two complementary models for the long-term effects of stress, one that leads to psychological distress and one that leads to psychological growth.

Aldwin and Stokols (1988) applied Maruyama’s (1963) dynamic extension of systems theory, called the deviation-amplification model, to the long-term effects of stressors. This model does not assume linear, unidirectional consequences of any given phenomenon but rather demonstrates the complex patterns that can result from the interplay of a series of feedback loops. Thus, over time, there may be positive, negative, or neutral stress outcomes from the same type of event. This model is more similar to Dienstbier’s (1989) than to Zautra and Sandler’s (1983) model as it specifies that both positive and negative effects can be traced without requiring the existence of complementary models.

Furthermore, the statistics commonly used in most stress research assume that there is a linear relationship between stress and outcomes. However, it is quite possible that nonlinear relationships may exist; for example, J curves or asymptotic ones are quite likely for stress and negative outcomes. It is also entirely possible that there may be an inverted-u relationship between stress and positive outcomes, similar to that commonly found between anxiety and performance (cf. Sanders, 1983); that is, only moderate stress levels may lead to positive developmental outcomes. For example, Schnurr, Rosenberg, and Friedman (1993) found that moderate exposure to combat resulted in more desirable effects in terms of personality change than did either low or high exposure.

Long-Term Consequences of Combat Stress

In many ways, examination of the long-term consequences of exposure to combat constitutes an acid test for the hypothesis that stress can have positive, developmental effects. On the one hand, the horrors of war are well-known and documented. Twenty-three percent of the battlefield casualties in World War II (WWII) were psychiatric (Friedman, 1981). Furthermore, mortality rates among veterans, mainly because of suicides and accidents, are markedly elevated in the first 5 years after a war (Centers for Disease Control, 1987). On the other hand, wars such as WWII have sometimes been referred to as “good” wars (cf. Terkel, 1984). Soldiers may speak of heroism, courage, altruism, intimate friendships, and the development of superior coping capacities and leadership skills.

More specifically, Elder and Clipp (1989) suggested that exposure to combat stress could result in either pathogenic or positive developmental effects. Drawing on the experiences of members of the Berkeley and Oakland Growth and Guidance Studies (cf. Block, 1971), Elder and Clipp identified a number of positive and negative outcomes of military experience. The positive outcomes included “learned to cope with adversity,” “self-discipline,” and “a broader perspective,” whereas negative effects included “separation from loved ones,” “combat anxiety,” and “loss of friends.” The undesirable experiences generally referred to losses and negative affective states, whereas positive experiences were more likely to refer to skills or resource acquisition. Men who had been in heavy combat were most likely to list coping, self-discipline, and valuing life as positive outcomes, but they were also more likely to have emotional problems at service exit and later in life. However, this archival study was limited by having a relatively small sample and by the unavailability of standardized measures of combat exposure and PTSD. The present study seeks to link the developmental model of Elder and Clipp with the measures more commonly used in PTSD research. Furthermore, we wish to extend Elder and Clipp’s model by examining these appraisals as mediators of the relationship between combat exposure in early life and PTSD symptoms in late life.

Purpose of This Study

We examined the pathways through which combat exposure in early adulthood might affect mental health in late life. First, following Elder and Clipp (1989), we hypothesized that the Normative Aging Study (NAS) men, who are primarily WWII and Korean-era veterans, would recollect both desirable and undesirable experiences of military service. We expected that undesirable effects would increase with the intensity of combat exposure. However, given that Schnurr et al. (1993) found positive effects of moderate combat exposure on personality, we expected that there would be an inverted-u function for desirable effects; that is, both low and high exposure to combat would result in lower levels of perceived desirable effects than would moderate exposure.

The second set of hypotheses addressed issues of vulnerability and resilience to combat exposure. Although Spiro, Schnurr, and Aldwin (1994) found a weak to moderate effect of combat exposure on PTSD symptoms in late life, it was clear that there was a fair amount of variability in this relationship. Thus, we further hypothesized that perceived positive and negative military experiences would both mediate and moderate (cf. Baron & Kenny, 1986) the relationship between combat exposure and PTSD symptoms. That is, perceiving negative effects of military service should be related to higher levels of PTSD symptoms, whereas perceiving positive benefits (e.g., increased mastery, leadership, and coping skills) should be related to lower levels. Obviously, the two are not mutually exclusive. Thus, in our analyses, we followed a (simplified) deviation-amplification model, in which both positive and negative impacts may flow from the same stressor. Although, unfortunately, we did not have longitudinal data on PTSD symptoms to actually identify increasingly divergent pathways, we did borrow from the stress...
and coping paradigm and examined the ability of the perceived positive and negative effects to buffer or intensify, respectively, the relationship between combat exposure and PTSD in late life (cf. Aldwin & Revenson, 1987).

It is true that negative affect and response styles may affect both the recall and reporting of stressors and symptoms (L. H. Cohen, Taubes, & Flocco, 1988; Costa & McCrae, 1980). Thus, we also examined the role of both of these influences on the reporting patterns of all variables in the study, as well as the relationships among them.

Method

Sample and Procedure

We examined the long-term consequences of combat stress among veterans who are currently participating in the NAS, which is a longitudinal biomedical and psychosocial study that was begun in the 1960s (see Bosé, Ekerdt, & Silbert, 1984). At that time, the men were screened for good health (defined as the absence of disease and blood pressure at or below 140/90) and geographic stability (defined through extensive social networks and stated intention to remain in the Boston area). Although they are a selected sample, the NAS men have been shown to be comparable to other community samples in their self-reported mental health on the Symptom Checklist—90–Revised (SCL-90-R; Aldwin, Spiro, Levenson, & Bosé, 1989), the Revised Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-2; Butcher et al., 1991), and the 16 Personality Factor (16PF; Aldwin, 1990).

A survey was mailed in 1990 to the 1,742 men then currently in the NAS sample; 1,444 (83%) responded. Of these men, 55 were veterans, and an additional 102 had missing data on the measures used in this study and were omitted, resulting in a sample of 1,287 men whose ages ranged from 43 to 91 years (M = 63.56, SD = 7.46). Most had seen service in WWII or Korea, but a few veterans served in Vietnam, and 4 veterans served in World War I. The men entered the service at a mean age of 20.72 (range = 14-38, SD = 3.85), and only 11% were married at entry. Most men were noncommissioned officers (48.3%), a few were officers (12.1%), and the rest were in the lower ranks or ranks (38.2%). Nearly half (40.4%) reported having served in a combat area on the Social Screening Survey at intake into the study. (For more information on the sample and procedure, see Spiro et al., 1994.)

Measures

Degree of combat exposure was assessed using the Combat Exposure Scale (CES; Keane et al., 1989), a 7-item scale that assesses various dimensions of combat-related stress. The CES uses a 5-point Likert scale (1–5), assesses both duration and intensity of exposure, and has a high internal reliability (α = .91). We used this variable to generate four factor scores: desirable and undesirable aspects of exposure—using standard cutoff points (see Spiro et al., 1994) for those analyses examining nonlinear effects of military service, but kept combat exposure as a continuous variable for the structural equation models.

Appraisals of the effects of military service were assessed using a 28-item scale developed by Elder and Clipp (1989), divided evenly between desirable and undesirable items. Using a 6-point rating scale (0 = not at all and 6 = a lot), the men indicated to what extent they perceived positive and negative consequences of military service. The positive outcomes included items such as “learned to cope with adversity,” “self-discipline,” and “a broader perspective,” whereas examples of negative effects included “separation from loved ones,” “combat anx-

ity,” and “loss of friends.” Internal reliability was higher for desirable than undesirable effects (α = .91 and .62, respectively).

As the outcome measure, we assessed current PTSD symptoms using the 35-item Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD (Keane, Cadell, & Taylor, 1988), which is rated on a scale of 1–5. As control variables, we assessed depressive symptoms using a 19-item variant of the Center for Epidemiological Studies—Depression (CES-D; Radloff, 1977), which also used a 5-point Likert rating (0–4) and had an acceptable internal consistency (α = .83).

In addition, we examined response style using the validity scales (L, F, and K) from the MMPI-2, which was administered in a survey in 1986 (Butcher et al., 1991). These scales reflect a general tendency to under- or overreport the negative. When entered into the structural equation models, these may help to control for the “halo” bias in retrospective accounts.

All scale scores, with the exception of the validity scales, were computed using the Michigan (75% validity) criterion. For the CES-D, the scale scores were prorated to correspond to the 20-item version for purposes of comparison with other samples. All of the scales showed reasonable internal reliabilities, ranging from .62 to .91.

Results

Appraisals of the Effects of Military Service

The mean rating for the desirable aspects of military service was much higher than that for undesirable aspects (27.29 vs. 6.82), suggesting that, on the whole, the NAS men were more likely to perceive desirable rather than undesirable effects of military service. When we dichotomized the individual items (0 vs. 1–3), we found overwhelmingly large proportions of the sample endorsing the desirable aspects. As Table 1 indicates, over 90% of the men endorsed 10 of the 14 items, including learned to cope with adversity, greater self-discipline, became more independent, increased self-esteem, and so forth. In short, military service was widely perceived to have had maturational and positive effects. However, only about half (52.8%) reported having lifelong friends.

The NAS men were not simply being “pollyannas”; they also were forthright about the undesirable effects of military service. As Table 2 indicates, they were most likely to complain about the separation from loved ones, the disruption to their lives, delayed careers, and general misery and discomfort.

We examined these ratings by combat exposure group, using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), testing for nonlinear
effects, and Scheffe post hoc range tests \((p < .05)\). As expected, there were significant differences in the undesirable ratings by combat exposure, \(F(3, 1274) = 45.96, p < .0001\), with both a significant linear effect, \(F(1, 1276) = 76.85, p < .0001\), and a small but significant cubic term, \(F(1, 1276) = 4.93, p < .05\). The three groups with significant combat experience were higher than the low–none group, the two middle groups were not significantly different from each other, and the high combat exposure group reported more negative effects than any other group.

There were also significant group differences for the desirable ratings, \(F(3, 1274) = 11.53, p < .0001\). Contrary to expectations, there were no nonlinear effects but only a significant linear term, \(F(1, 1276) = 21.27, p < .0001\). Scheffe post hoc range test \((p < .05)\) showed that the two heavier combat exposure groups were higher than the two lower ones.

Note, however, that these analyses only address general positive and negative experiences of military service, and we were curious as to whether there were specific effects of combat exposure. Thus, we decided to examine the effect of combat exposure on the individual items, first using a multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVAs) for each set of items, then examining the univariate Fs for each item. Both MANOVAs were highly significant, \(F(S = 3, M = 5, N = 605) = 13.02\) and \(2.86\), Wilks’s lambda = .65 and .91, respectively, for undesirable and desirable effects. We then conducted one-way ANOVAs, testing for polynomial trends for each of the significant items.

Ten of the 14 undesirable items showed significant differences between the combat groups, and an additional item (drinking problems) showed a trend \((p = .055)\). The only items that did not differ among the groups were economic problems for self or wife, marital problems, and being bored. As only 11% of the men were married when they entered the service, this might partially account for this lack of differences between the groups. Also of note is that 54% of the heavy combat exposure men reported having an alcohol problem at some point in time.

Although most of these were linear differences between the combat groups, four of the undesirable items showed significant nonlinear trends (see Figure 1). One item, lonely for wife and children, showed a small but significant quadratic trend, \(F(1, 1275) = 8.53, p < .01\); that is, the two middle combat exposure groups were slightly higher than the low–none and heavy groups. The three items that were most similar to PTSD symptoms—combat anxiety, loss of friends, and death and destruction—all showed significant cubic trends, \(F(1, 1270) = 6.00, 11.25, \) and \(9.10, p < .01\), respectively. The curves were neither the expected J nor asymptotic curves but rather simple nonmonotonic increases across the groups.

There were also significant differences between the combat groups on 11 of the 14 desirable items. The only items that did not show differences were a broader perspective, better job skills and options, and rewarding memories. In nearly all cases, the two heavier combat groups were higher than the two lower ones; the expected inverted-U pattern did not emerge (data not shown).

Thus, we found that the men perceived both positive and negative consequences of their military service, with more weight given to the positive rather than to the negative. This was true even for the groups with the heaviest combat exposure. Not surprisingly, these groups also reported disproportionately more negative experiences, with modest nonmonotonic trends seen. However, our hypothesis that nonlinear trends would be seen for the positive consequences was disconfirmed; rather, there were only linear trends, with greater benefit being perceived from moderate and heavy combat exposure.

**Correlates of Combat Exposure and PTSD**

We next examined the relationship of our variables to combat exposure and PTSD symptoms. Most variables were modestly related to PTSD in the expected directions (see Table 3). This is remarkable only because of the fact that the combat exposure was, on average, 50 years earlier. Note that depressive symptoms were strongly related to PTSD symptoms \((r = .60)\) but were at best weakly related to combat exposure \((r = .05, p = .055)\). The MMPI-2 validity scales, L, F, and K, were also related to PTSD symptoms in the expected directions, suggest-
ing some response style effects in the reporting of symptoms. The validity scales were completely unrelated to reports of combat exposure, and only weakly related to military service variables, suggesting that there is relatively little effect of response style in these variables.

We used the GEMINI program (Wolfe & Ethington, 1985) to construct the path models used in this study. The first model examined whether the perceived desirable and undesirable effects of military service mediated the relationship between combat exposure and PTSD. The GEMINI program requires that the variables be entered in a theoretically determined order. Thus, combat exposure, undesirable experiences, and desirable experiences were entered first, second, and third, respectively. This resulted in a stringent test of the hypothesis that desirable experiences would mitigate the effects of combat on PTSD. As seen in Figure 2, combat exposure had direct effects on PTSD symptoms, but perceived desirable and undesirable experiences had independent effects on PTSD symptoms. Note that there was no significant relationship between desirable and undesirable experiences. Those who had higher ratings on undesirable experiences reported more PTSD symptoms in later life, whereas those who had higher ratings on the desirable experiences reported fewer. Together, these variables accounted for 15% of the variance in PTSD symptoms, $F(3, 1283) = 78.24$, $p < .0001$.

However, the current data are cross-sectional, and causal directionality cannot be determined. That is, individuals high in symptoms might be selectively (mis)reporting both their combat exposure and their general military experience. Thus, we tested a second model that controlled for current levels of de-
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Figure 2. Simple path model of the appraisal mediators of the relationship between combat exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms. \( R^2 = .15, F(3, 1283) = 78.24, p < .0001; \) all betas are significant beyond the \( p < .0001 \) level.

Figure 3. Path model controlling for depressive symptoms. PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder. \( R^2 = .43, F(4, 1282) = 240.22, p < .0001; \) all betas significant beyond the \( p < .05 \) level.
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Figure 4. Path model controlling for response style. PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; F and K are validity scales from the Revised Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. $R^2 = .35$, $F(5, 1140) = 120.38, p < .0001$; all betas are significant beyond the $p < .05$ level.

Moderators of Combat Stress

In addition to mediating combat stress, appraisals of desirable and undesirable effects may also moderate the effect of combat exposure on PTSD symptoms. We hypothesized that desirable appraisals would buffer and undesirable appraisals would intensify the effect of combat stress. Depressive symptoms may also intensify these stress effects. Thus, we computed three hierarchical regression analyses, entering combat stress, the moderator, and the Combat Stress $\times$ Moderator interaction terms as the first, second, and third steps, respectively. All independent variables were centered to reduce multicollinearity (J. Cohen & Cohen, 1983).

Our hypotheses were partially supported. The interaction term between combat exposure and desirability appraisals did not achieve significance, $F(1, 1285) = 2.67, p = .10$, and thus the perceived desirability of military experience did not buffer the effect of combat stress on PTSD. However, both undesirable appraisals and depressive symptoms showed significant interaction effects with combat stress, $F(1, 1285) = 23.94$ and $30.00, p < .001$, respectively. Solving the equations for low, medium, and high levels of the various independent variables (J. Cohen & Cohen, 1983) demonstrated that both undesirable appraisals and depressive symptoms slightly intensified the effects of combat stress on PTSD.

Discussion

The relationship between combat exposure in early adulthood and PTSD symptoms in later life was partially mediated by appraisals of the desirable and undesirable effects of military service. The perception of undesirable effects, such as losses in
Table 4
Direct and Indirect Effects on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Exposure</td>
<td>0.176***</td>
<td>0.078***</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesirable Effects</td>
<td>6.361***</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>6.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable Effects</td>
<td>-2.056***</td>
<td>-2.056</td>
<td>-2.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive Symptoms</td>
<td>0.806***</td>
<td>0.084***</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Exposure</td>
<td>0.159***</td>
<td>0.052***</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesirable Effects</td>
<td>0.318***</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable Effects</td>
<td>-0.092***</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F*</td>
<td>0.892***</td>
<td>0.131**</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K*</td>
<td>-0.632***</td>
<td>-0.058*</td>
<td>-0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Exposure</td>
<td>0.197***</td>
<td>0.070***</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesirable Effects</td>
<td>0.420***</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable Effects</td>
<td>-0.137***</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Validity scale from the Revised Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-2).
* *p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001.

career and relationships, and having ever experienced various negative affective states, was moderately and positively related to PTSD symptom levels, even controlling for degree of exposure to combat, current depressive symptoms, and response style. In contrast, the perception of positive effects resulting from stress, such as the development of coping resources, was negatively related to PTSD symptom levels. The appraisal of undesirable effects and depression also moderated the relationship between combat stress and PTSD symptoms by exacerbating the stress effects slightly.

In some ways, the most interesting finding was the degree to which the men viewed their military experience, and even their combat experience, as having had desirable consequences. The men viewed this period in their lives as having maturational effects, broadening their perspective, enhancing coping skills and self-esteem, and increasing both self-discipline and independence. We expected to see an inverted-U relationship between desirable appraisals and combat stress, with those experiencing moderate combat exposure highest. However, there were only linear effects; the higher the combat exposure, the more the men reported positive developmental outcomes.

Some nonlinear effects for undesirable appraisals were found. Although the expected J-shaped or asymptotic trends did not occur, there were some nonmonotonic increases in undesirable appraisals of military service, with those in the heaviest combat group reporting disproportionately more negative effects. Those effects included combat anxieties, loss of friends, and death and destruction. Interestingly, there was no correlation between the desirable and undesirable appraisals in this sample, supporting the notion that the positive and negative constitute independent pathways (cf. Bradburn, 1969; Warr, Barter, & Brownbridge, 1983; Watson & Clark, 1984).

A number of caveats should be mentioned. First, the data are cross-sectional, and thus causal imputations should be made only with caution. Reports of the degree of combat exposure and perception of desirable and undesirable experiences are retrospective and may be colored by whatever coping processes or other events have occurred in the ensuing 40 years. PTSD symptoms may be driving individuals' appraisals of desirable and undesirable effects, rather than vice versa. Note, however, that combat exposure was only weakly related to depression (which was highly correlated with PTSD) and that undesirable effects predicted PTSD, even controlling for depression. Furthermore, the MMPI-2 validity scales were completely unrelated to self-reports of exposure to combat, although they did indicate a response bias in both the appraisal and symptom variables.

Second, the sample was selective and included few minorities. Those in poorer health or more bitter about their wartime experiences may not have been included in the original NAS sample, or they may have experienced reduced longevity. Perhaps the balance of positive and negative effects would have been different in other samples. To some extent, the strength of the findings vis-à-vis the maturational effect of combat stress may be specific to this cohort; it would be very interesting to see if these results could be even partially replicated in a sample composed primarily of Vietnam veterans, for example. However, as indicated in Footnote 1, we ran separate models for WWII and Korean-era veterans, and there were no significant differences between the models. Furthermore, Ursano, Whealy, Sledge, Rahe, and Carlson (1986) reported that Vietnam-era POWs also perceived benefits to their experience, in terms of enhanced self-esteem, coping, and mastery; these benefits were positively correlated with length of imprisonment, suggesting that the model may hold in this war as well.

Third, the strength of the relationship between depressive and PTSD symptoms also needs to be viewed with caution. An examination of the items on the Mississippi PTSD Scale revealed that several could also be viewed as depressive symptoms, including suicidal ideation, numbing of affect, difficulty sleeping and remembering things, and crying. Thus, there may be overlap between the measurement of the two constructs. Perhaps future measures of PTSD should include only those symptoms that are specific to trauma, such as flashbacks, startle responses, and nightmares of the event. However, those depression-like items are undoubtedly clinically important in the description of PTSD, and the internal reliability of the Mississippi PTSD Scale was .91, suggesting that it is a unidimensional scale. Although we could have omitted those items, we were loath to modify a standardized instrument and impair comparability across studies. Furthermore, other forms of psychological distress such as depression may render an individual more vulnerable to the effects of trauma; however, PTSD may simply be yet another manifestation of a more generalized negative affectivity (cf. Watson & Clark, 1984). Future research needs to address this conundrum.

A possible interpretation of the findings vis-à-vis desirable appraisals is that the men were only engaging in denial or coping...
by means of cognitive reframing to make an intolerable situation tolerable (Taylor & Brown, 1988). That is, the men may have been focusing more on the positive than on the negative aspects. Some sort of opponent-process (Solomon, 1980) vis-à-vis memory for unpleasant experiences undoubtedly occurred. Over time, painful memories may become overshadowed by the pleasant or humorous aspects of those same memories.

Equally plausible, however, is that men were truthfully reporting the positive outcomes of stressful experiences. They were, after all, also able to report the negative or undesirable aspects of their experiences, and some men were deeply troubled by the questionnaire. If the men were only engaging in denial or cognitive reframing, they would not have been so forthright about the undesirable aspects of their experience; many negative comments about the military were written in on various parts of the questionnaire. Certainly, coping skills, competence, and self-esteem can be developed through successfully dealing with stressful circumstances, whether these are related to careers, family, natural disasters, or the military.

This study directly corroborates and extends other work in this area, such as the previously mentioned study by Elder and Clipp (1989). In longitudinal studies of vulnerable youths, both Elder (1986) and Werner and Smith (1992) found that, for some troubled youths, one pathway to adaptive adult functioning was through military service. In this structured setting, they were able to develop skills and character resources that allowed them to successfully make the transition to adulthood. Increases in locus of control with basic training have also been documented, especially among youth who started with external loci and who were in units with supportive drill sergeants (Cook, Novaco, & Sarason, 1982). Finally, Schnurr et al. (1993) followed a 1969 cohort who had completed MMPIs in college, some of whom subsequently served in Vietnam. They found that veterans with some combat exposure had improved MMPI scores over a 20-year period relative to both noncombatants and those with heavy exposure.

The anecdotes that the men related to explain their feelings about the positive aspects of their combat experiences can be interpreted as supporting positive effects. For example, one man who had been shot down in the Pacific had spent the night afloat in the ocean in the middle of a raging battle. He promised himself that if he lived through that night, nothing would ever trouble him again, and, he claimed, nothing does. Apparently, self that if he lived through that night, nothing would ever happen to him, and, he claimed, nothing does. Apparently, combat exposure inoculated some men against future stress. In comparison to that trauma, daily stressors were insignificant.

Equally plausible, however, is that men were truthfully reporting the positive outcomes of stressful experiences. They were, after all, also able to report the negative or undesirable aspects of their experiences, and some men were deeply troubled by the questionnaire. If the men were only engaging in denial or cognitive reframing, they would not have been so forthright about the undesirable aspects of their experience; many negative comments about the military were written in on various parts of the questionnaire. Certainly, coping skills, competence, and self-esteem can be developed through successfully dealing with stressful circumstances, whether these are related to careers, family, natural disasters, or the military.

This study directly corroborates and extends other work in this area, such as the previously mentioned study by Elder and Clipp (1989). In longitudinal studies of vulnerable youths, both Elder (1986) and Werner and Smith (1992) found that, for some troubled youths, one pathway to adaptive adult functioning was through military service. In this structured setting, they were able to develop skills and character resources that allowed them to successfully make the transition to adulthood. Increases in locus of control with basic training have also been documented, especially among youth who started with external loci and who were in units with supportive drill sergeants (Cook, Novaco, & Sarason, 1982). Finally, Schnurr et al. (1993) followed a 1969 cohort who had completed MMPIs in college, some of whom subsequently served in Vietnam. They found that veterans with some combat exposure had improved MMPI scores over a 20-year period relative to both noncombatants and those with heavy exposure.

The anecdotes that the men related to explain their feelings about the positive aspects of their combat experiences can be interpreted as supporting positive effects. For example, one man who had been shot down in the Pacific had spent the night afloat in the ocean in the middle of a raging battle. He promised himself that if he lived through that night, nothing would ever trouble him again, and, he claimed, nothing does. Apparently, combat exposure inoculated some men against future stress. In comparison to that trauma, daily stressors were insignificant. Other men spoke of their battlefield promotions and their pride in coming through time. Berkeley, CA: Bancroft Books.
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Six Editors Appointed, 1995–2000

The Publications and Communications Board of the American Psychological Association announces the appointment of six new editors for 6-year terms beginning in 1995. As of January 1, 1994, manuscripts should be directed as follows:

- For the *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, submit new manuscripts to Milton E. Strauss, PhD, Department of Psychology, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44106-7123.

- For the *Journal of Applied Psychology*, submit manuscripts to Philip Bobko, PhD, Editor, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, P.O. Box 130, Skillman, New Jersey 08558.

- For the *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, submit manuscripts to Charles T. Snowdon, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin, 1202 West Johnson Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1696.

- For the Attitudes and Social Cognition section of the *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, submit manuscripts to Arie W. Kruglanski, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742.

- For *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, submit manuscripts to Patrick H. DeLeon, PhD, JD, Editor, *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, APA, Room 3084, 750 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-4242.

- For *Psychological Review*, submit manuscripts to Robert A. Bjork, PhD, *Psychological Review*, Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1563.

Manuscript submission patterns make the precise date of completion of 1994 volumes uncertain. The current editors, Susan Mineka, PhD; Neal Schmitt, PhD; Gordon G. Gallup, PhD; Abraham Tesser, PhD; Ursula Delworth, PhD; and Walter Kintsch, PhD, respectively, will receive and consider manuscripts until December 31, 1993. Should any 1994 volumes be completed before that date, manuscripts will be redirected to the new editors for consideration in 1995 volumes.